

# Unkonventionelle Supraleitung WS 05/06

## Lösungen zur Serie 11

**11** Let us consider the superconductivity in a system with tetragonal crystal structure and strong spin-orbit coupling discussed in the theory lecture notes. For superconducting phases described by two-component order parameter  $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_x, \eta_y)$  corresponding to two basis functions of the two dimensional representation [Eq. (4.20) or (166) in the theory lecture notes], the Ginzburg-Landau free energy density  $f$  in the *spatially uniform case under zero magnetic field* is given as follows [see Eq. (4.21) or (167)].

$$f = a(T)|\vec{\eta}|^2 + b_1|\vec{\eta}|^4 + \frac{b_2}{2}\{\eta_x^{*2}\eta_y^2 + \eta_x^2\eta_y^{*2}\} + b_3|\eta_x|^2|\eta_y|^2 \quad (1)$$

$$= a(T)\{|\eta_x|^2 + |\eta_y|^2\} + b_1\left\{\left[|\eta_x|^2 + |\eta_y|^2\right]^2\right\} + \frac{b_2}{2}\{\eta_x^{*2}\eta_y^2 + \eta_x^2\eta_y^{*2}\} + b_3|\eta_x|^2|\eta_y|^2 \quad (2)$$

$$= a(T)\{|\eta_x|^2 + |\eta_y|^2\} + b_1\left\{|\eta_x|^4 + |\eta_y|^4 + 2|\eta_x|^2|\eta_y|^2\right\} + \frac{b_2}{2}\{\eta_x^{*2}\eta_y^2 + \eta_x^2\eta_y^{*2}\} + b_3|\eta_x|^2|\eta_y|^2. \quad (3)$$

Here, we have omitted the gradient terms and the magnetic field term because of the spatially uniformity assumed. The coefficients  $a(T)$  and  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are real numbers.  $a(T) < 0$  for  $T < T_c$ .

**a)** Taking the variation of  $f$  with respect to  $\eta_x^*$  ( $\partial f / \partial \eta_x^* = 0$ ) and  $\eta_y^*$  ( $\partial f / \partial \eta_y^* = 0$ ), we obtain two coupled Ginzburg-Landau equations:

$$a(T)\eta_x + b_1\left\{2|\eta_x|^2\eta_x + 2\eta_x|\eta_y|^2\right\} + b_2\eta_x^*\eta_y^2 + b_3\eta_x|\eta_y|^2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$a(T)\eta_y + b_1\left\{2|\eta_y|^2\eta_y + 2\eta_y|\eta_x|^2\right\} + b_2\eta_y^2\eta_x^* + b_3|\eta_x|^2\eta_y = 0. \quad (5)$$

$$\rightarrow a(T)\eta_x + 2b_1\left\{|\eta_x|^2 + |\eta_y|^2\right\}\eta_x + b_2\eta_y^2\eta_x^* + b_3|\eta_y|^2\eta_x = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$a(T)\eta_y + 2b_1\left\{|\eta_y|^2 + |\eta_x|^2\right\}\eta_y + b_2\eta_x^2\eta_y^* + b_3|\eta_x|^2\eta_y = 0. \quad (7)$$

**b)** Let us parameterize the order parameters  $\eta_x$  and  $\eta_y$  as

$$(\eta_x, \eta_y) = (\eta_0 \cos \alpha, \eta_0 e^{i\gamma} \sin \alpha), \quad (8)$$

with  $\eta_0$  real,  $\alpha$  ( $-\pi/2 < \alpha \leq \pi/2$ ), and  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 2\pi$ ).

Substituting this into the Ginzburg-Landau free energy density (2),

$$f = a(T)\{|\eta_x|^2 + |\eta_y|^2\} + b_1\left\{\left[|\eta_x|^2 + |\eta_y|^2\right]^2\right\} + \frac{b_2}{2}\{\eta_x^{*2}\eta_y^2 + \eta_x^2\eta_y^{*2}\} + b_3|\eta_x|^2|\eta_y|^2 \quad (9)$$

$$= a(T)\{\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha\}\eta_0^2 + b_1\left\{\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha\right\}^2\eta_0^4 \\ + \frac{b_2}{2}\{e^{2i\gamma} \cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \alpha + e^{-2i\gamma} \cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \alpha\}\eta_0^4 + b_3(\cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \alpha)\eta_0^4 \quad (10)$$

$$= a(T)\eta_0^2 + b_1\eta_0^4 + b_2\{\cos 2\gamma(\cos \alpha \sin \alpha)^2\}\eta_0^4 + b_3(\cos \alpha \sin \alpha)^2\eta_0^4 \quad (11)$$

$$= a(T)\eta_0^2 + b_1\eta_0^4 + \frac{b_2}{2^2}\{\cos 2\gamma(\sin 2\alpha)^2\}\eta_0^4 + \frac{b_3}{2^2}(\sin 2\alpha)^2\eta_0^4 \quad (12)$$

$$= a(T)\eta_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}[4b_1 + \sin^2 2\alpha(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3)]\eta_0^4. \quad (13)$$

From now on, let us assume  $b_1 > 0$ .

c) Because  $a(T) < 0$ , the condition for  $f$  to have a minimum with respect to  $\eta_0$  is that the coefficient of the second term in Eq. (13) is positive, namely

$$4b_1 + \sin^2 2\alpha(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3) > 0. \quad (14)$$

We define  $B \equiv 4b_1 + \sin^2 2\alpha(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3)$ . The free energy density  $f$  [Eq. (13)] reads:

$$f = a(T)\eta_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}B\eta_0^4. \quad (15)$$

Taking the variation of  $f$  with respect to  $\eta_0$  ( $\partial f / \partial \eta_0 = 0$ ),

$$2a(T)\eta_0 + B\eta_0^3 = 0. \quad (16)$$

$$\rightarrow 2a(T) + B\eta_0^2 = 0. \quad (17)$$

$$\rightarrow \eta_0^2 = \frac{-2a(T)}{B} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{-2a(T)}{4b_1 + \sin^2 2\alpha(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3)}. \quad (19)$$

This  $\eta_0^2$  yields a minimum of  $f$ . Substituting it into Eq. (15), we obtain the minimum of  $f$  as

$$f = a(T)\left(\frac{-2a(T)}{B}\right) + \frac{1}{4}B\left(\frac{-2a(T)}{B}\right)^2 \quad (20)$$

$$= \frac{-2\{a(T)\}^2}{B} + \frac{1}{4}B\left(\frac{4\{a(T)\}^2}{B^2}\right) \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{-2\{a(T)\}^2}{B} + \frac{\{a(T)\}^2}{B} \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{-\{a(T)\}^2}{B} \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{-\{a(T)\}^2}{4b_1 + \sin^2 2\alpha(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3)}. \quad (24)$$

d) Next, let us minimize  $f$  with respect to the parameter  $\alpha$ . The free energy density  $f$  in Eq. (24) has a minimum when its denominator ( $> 0$ ) is minimized.

If  $(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3) > 0$ , the free energy density in Eq. (24) has a minimum when  $\sin^2 2\alpha = 0$ , namely when  $\alpha = 0, \pi/2$ . On the other hand, if  $(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3) < 0$ , the free energy density has a minimum when  $\sin^2 2\alpha = 1$ , namely when  $\alpha = \pm\pi/4$ . Recalling  $(\eta_x, \eta_y) = (\eta_0 \cos \alpha, \eta_0 e^{i\gamma} \sin \alpha)$ , we have

$$(\eta_x, \eta_y) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \pm \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\gamma} \right) & (b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3 < 0, \quad 4b_1 + b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3 > 0) \\ (\eta_0, 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (0, \eta_0 e^{i\gamma}) & (b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3 > 0, \quad 4b_1 > 0) \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Here, we have taken account of the condition represented by Eq. (14), too.

e) Finally, let us minimize  $f$  with respect to the parameter  $\gamma$ .

When  $(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3) < 0$ , the smallest value of  $b_2 \cos 2\gamma$  minimizes  $f$ . In this case, the values  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\gamma = \pi$  minimize  $f$  if  $b_2 < 0$ , while  $\gamma = \pi/2$  and  $\gamma = 3\pi/2$  minimize  $f$  if  $b_2 > 0$ . That is to say,

$$(\eta_x, \eta_y) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \pm i \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right) & (-b_2 + b_3 < 0, \quad b_2 > 0, \quad 4b_1 - b_2 + b_3 > 0) \\ \left( \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \pm \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right) & (b_2 + b_3 < 0, \quad b_2 < 0, \quad 4b_1 + b_2 + b_3 > 0) \\ (\eta_0, 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (0, \eta_0 e^{i\gamma}) & (b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3 > 0, \quad b_1 > 0) \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

When  $(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3) > 0$ ,  $\sin^2 2\alpha = 0$  as noticed above. In this case, the free energy density  $f$  in Eq. (24) is independent of  $\gamma$ . Therefore, we can choose the phase  $\gamma$  arbitrarily.

The superconducting phases represented by the order parameters in Eq. (26) correspond to the phases A, B, and C discussed in the theory lecture notes (see Fig. 7.1 or Fig. 10 therein). The phases A, B, and C correspond to the order parameters from top to bottom in Eq. (26), respectively. From the above result, one can easily confirm that the free energy density ( $f < 0$ ) in Eq. (24) is lower for the phases A and B [corresponding to the first (A) and second (B) lines in Eq. (26)] than for the phase C [the third line]. (Consider the denominator of  $f$ , the value of  $\alpha$ , and the sign of the factor  $(b_2 \cos 2\gamma + b_3)$  for each phase.) Therefore, concerning the phase diagram in the  $(b_2, b_3)$  parameter space, the phase A or B is energetically more favorable than the C phase in the region where the inequalities in the first or second line in Eq. (26) are satisfied.